OPINION 2023 (Case 3195)

*Polonograptus* Tsegelnjuk, 1976 (Graptolithina): *P. podoliensis* Přibyl, 1983 designated as the type species

Abstract. The Commission has ruled that the current usage of the generic name *Polonograptus* Tsegelnjuk, 1976 for an Upper Ludlow monograptid is conserved by the designation of *P. podoliensis* Přibyl, 1983 as the type species of *Polonograptus*, instead of *P. butovicensis* (Boucek, 1936).
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Ruling

(1) Under the plenary power all previous fixations of type species for the nominal genus *Polonograptus* Tsegelnjuk, 1976 are hereby set aside and *Polonograptus podoliensis* Přibyl, 1983 is designated as the type species.

(2) The name *Polonograptus* Tsegelnjuk, 1976 (gender: masculine), type species by designation in (1) above *Polonograptus podoliensis* Přibyl, 1983, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.

(3) The name *podoliensis* Přibyl, 1983, as published in the binomen *Polonograptus podoliensis* (specific name of the type species of *Polonograptus* Tsegelnjuk, 1976), is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

(4) The name *Alexandrograptus* Přibyl, 1981 (unavailable because disclaimed by its author in the original publication) is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology.

History of Case 3195

An application to conserve the current usage of the generic name *Polonograptus* Tsegelnjuk, 1976 for an Upper Ludlow monograptid by designating *P. podoliensis* Přibyl, 1983 as the type species was received on 10 February 2001 from J.F.V. Riva (*Quebec Geoscience Centre, University of Quebec, Ste-Foy, Canada*), T.N. Koren’ (*VSEGEI, Srednij Prospect 74, St Petersburg, Russia*) and R.B. Rickards (*Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.*). After correspondence the case was published in BZN 58: 291–293 (December 2001). The title, abstract and keywords of the case were published on the Commission’s website. No comments on this case were received.

Decision of the Commission

On 1 September 2002 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 58: 293.

At the close of the voting period on 1 December 2002 the votes were as follows: 24 Commissioners voted FOR the proposals, 1 Commissioner voted AGAINST. no votes were received from Böhme and Dupuis. Ng was on leave of absence.
Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on Official Lists and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

